PHASE 2 RESULTS OF RELACORILANT + NAB-PACLITAXEL IN PATIENTS WITH RECURRENT, PLATINUM-RESISTANT **OVARIAN CANCER WITH** AND WITHOUT PRIOR BEVACIZUMAB



PRESENTER: Nicoletta Colombo, MD

Nicoletta Colombo, MD¹; Toon Van Gorp, MD, PhD²; Ursula A. Matulonis, MD^3 ; Ana Oaknin, MD, PhD^4 ; Rachel N. Grisham, MD⁵; Gini F. Fleming, MD⁶; Alexander B. Olawaiye, MD⁷; Iulia Cristina Tudor, PhD⁸; Hristina I. Pashova, PhD⁸; Domenica Lorusso, MD, PhD⁹

¹University of Milan-Bicocca and European Institute of Oncology, IRCCS, Milan, Italy; ² University Hospital Leuven, Leuven Cancer Institute, Leuven, Belgium; ³ Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ⁴ Vall d'Hebron Institute of Oncology (VHIO), Hospital Universitari Vall d'Hebron, Vall d'Hebron Barcelona Hospital Campus, Barcelona, Spain; ⁵ Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and Weill Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY, USA; ⁶ The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA; ⁷ University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ⁸ Corcept Therapeutics, Menlo Park, CA, USA; ⁹ Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Gemelli IRCCS and Catholic University of Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy

Conclusions

- An unmet need persists for treatments in platinum-resistant ovarian cancer that can extend a woman's survival without adding toxicity.
- Selective GR modulation is a promising, new oncologic therapy.
- This was the first randomized, controlled trial to evaluate relacorilant in combination with nab-paclitaxel in women with platinum-resistant/ refractory ovarian cancer.
- Improved PFS and a trend toward improved OS were observed with intermittent relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel versus nab-paclitaxel monotherapy.
- In this pre-planned subgroup analysis, patients with prior BEV exposure treated with intermittent relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel experienced greater improvements in PFS, OS, and DOR versus those treated with nab-paclitaxel monotherapy.

PRESENTED AT THE ANNUAL GLOBAL MEETING of the International Gynecologic Cancer SOCIETY; SEPTEMBER 29-OCTOBER 1, 2022; New York, NY





regimens

nonotherapy arm

Re	ference
 (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8) (9) 	National Co cancer. Ver Accessed A Du J, et al Luvero D, Melhem A, Zhang C, e Greensteir Munster Pl Colombo N Colombo N
Ac The Mat The	authors th t McErlean, authors de
Die	closu

Disclosures The study reported in this poster was funded by Corcept Therapeutics. NC, TVG, UAM, AO, RNG, GFF, ABO, and DL; Principal investigators in the study. ICT, HIP; Employees of Corcept.

Background

- Current treatment for ovarian cancer generally begins with a platinum-based chemotherapy regimen with or without bevacizumab (BEV); however, most patients ultimately progress and succumb to the disease due to the emergence of chemotherapy resistance.^{1,2}
- Single-agent chemotherapies are commonly used in platinum-resistant disease, but generally have limited efficacy and poor outcomes.³
- Binding of cortisol to the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) can reduce the efficacy of chemotherapies by activating anti-apoptotic pathways, such as those activated by SGK1 and DUSP1.^{4,5}
- Relacorilant is an investigational, reversible, selective GR modulator (SGRM) that competes with cortisol at the GR.^{6,7}
- Preclinical and clinical data indicate that modulation of GR signaling can reverse the anti-apoptotic effects of cortisol, thereby restoring or enhancing chemotherapy efficacy.^{6,7}
- In a recent phase 2 study in patients with recurrent, platinum-resistant ovarian cancer, intermittently dosed relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel resulted in clinically meaningful benefit compared to nab-paclitaxel monotherapy, including^{8,9,*}:
 - Improved progression-free survival (PFS; hazard ratio [HR] 0.66; P=0.038; median PFS 5.6 months [95% confidence interval (CI) 3.7, 7.2] versus 3.8 months [95% CI 3.5, 5.4]), Improved duration of response (DOR; HR 0.36; P=0.006), and
- A trend toward improved overall survival (OS; HR 0.67; P=0.066; median OS 13.9 months
- [95% CI 11.1, 18.4] versus 12.2 months [95% CI 7.7, 15.3]).
- Here, we present a pre-planned subgroup analysis of this phase 2 study based on prior treatment with or without BEV.
- Results not adjusted for multiplicity

Study Design

- This was a phase 2, randomized, controlled, open-label, 3-arm study (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT03776812) in patients with recurrent, platinum-resistant/refractory ovarian, primary peritoneal, or fallopian tube cancer or ovarian carcinosarcoma.
 - Patients were randomized as follows:
 - Intermittent relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel, with relacorilant administered on the day before, the day of, and the day after nab-paclitaxel (intermittent arm),
 - Continuous relacorilant administered daily + nab-paclitaxel (continuous arm; results from this arm will not be reported here),
 - Nab-paclitaxel monotherapy (comparator arm).
- In a pre-planned subgroup analysis, efficacy outcomes were compared between the intermittent and comparator arms based on whether or not patients had received prior BEV.
 - Among the 120 patients randomized to the intermittent or comparator arms, 68 had received prior BEV (31 and 37, respectively) and 52 had not (29 and 23, respectively).

Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) was mandated in the relacorilant arms and administered by investigator decision in the nab-paclitaxel

ORR, objective response rate; RECIST, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors.

- Comprehensive Cancer Network[®] Guidelines. Ovarian cancer including fallopian tube cancer and primary peritoneal ersion 3. 2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ovarian.pdf. Updated July 25, 2022. August 3, 2022. . Biosci Rep. 2020;40(7):BSR20200401 , et al. Ther Adv Med Oncol. 2014;6(5):229-239. et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;15(9):3196-3204.
- et al. Int J Oncol. 2006;29(5):1295-1301.
- in AE, Hunt HJ. Oncotarget. 2021;12(13):1243-1255.
- PN, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2022;28(15):3214-3224.
- N. et al. Ann Oncol. 2021;32(suppl 5):7210.
- N, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2022;40(suppl 17):LBA5503.

edgments

thank Dorothy D. Nguyen, MD, for her contributions to this study. Medical writing assistance was provided by In, PhD, and Leslie Moody, PhD, of Lumanity Communications Inc. (Yardley, PA, USA) and funded by Corcept Therapeutics. eveloped and revised this poster and provided approval of this final version.

Age, med ≥65, n (Race, n (White •••••• Other Baseline -----Geographi North A

Europe Stage at Stage < Stage ≥ Platinum

Molecular BRCA1 BRCA2

*Race data we [•]Cancer sta Progressing during or within 1 month from last platinum treatmen SD, standard deviation.

•	In	this

PFS Median HR* (95% Log-rank

ORR ORR in p measura baseline

DOR Median HR* (95% Log-rank

*Comparing intermittent relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel versus nab-paclitaxel monotherapy Nominal *P* values are presented treated with continuous, daily relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel are not reported here. The data cutoff date for the was March 22, 2021; median follow-up was 11.1 months. The data cutoff date for the final analysis (OS) was March 7, 2022; median follow-up was 22.5 months. N/A, not applicable; NR, not reported.

Limitations

- 5

Baseline Characteristics in All Study Patients, by Prior BEV Use

• Baseline characteristics in patients with or without prior BEV were generally balanced, with the exception that a higher proportion (~70%) of patients who had received prior BEV were in Europe.

	Prior BEV (N=105)	No prior BEV (N=73)
ian (range), years	62 (38, 81)	60 (43, 81)
(%)	43 (41.0)	19 (26.0)
ó)*		
	96 (91.4)	63 (86.3)
	5 (4.8)	5 (6.8)
veight, mean (SD), kg	65.5 (13.1)	70.7 (19.4)
ic region, n (%)		
merica	32 (30.5)	35 (47.9)
	73 (69.5)	38 (52.1)
nitial diagnosis, n (%)†		
IIIA	5 (4.8)	4 (5.5)
IIA	98 (93.3)	69 (94.5)
refractory, n (%)‡	38 (36.2)	27 (37.0)
profiling, n/N (%)		
(+)	11/85 (12.9)	5/47 (10.6)
(+)	4/75 (5.3)	3/39 (7.7)

Efficacy Outcomes Based on Prior BEV Use

s pre-planned subgroup analysis, patients in the intermittent arm who had received prior BEV experienced longer PFS and OS versus those with no prior exposure to BEV. • ORR was similar across both BEV subgroups, but DOR improved in the intermittent arm versus nab-paclitaxel monotherapy among patients who had received prior BEV.

	Prior BEV		No prior BEV	
	Intermittent relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel (n=31)	Nab-paclitaxel monotherapy (n=37)	Intermittent relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel (n=29)	Nab-paclitaxel monotherapy (n=23)
PFS, months (95% CI) 5 CI) 5 test, <i>P</i> value [†]	7.2 (3.0, 7.4) 0.44 (0.24, 0.78) 0.005	3.7 (3.5, 5.5) N/A N/A	5.4 (2.8, 5.7) 0.91 (0.48, 1.72) 0.767	3.8 (3.4, 5.5) N/A N/A
atients with ble disease at , n/N (%)	11/27 (40.7)	10/30 (33.3)	9/29 (31.0)	9/23 (39.1)
DOR, months (95% CI) 5 CI) 5 test, <i>P</i> value [†]	5.6 (4.1, NR) 0.25 (0.08, 0.83) 0.006	3.4 (1.3, 3.7) N/A N/A	3.8 (3.6, NR) 0.47 (0.13, 1.68) 0.234	3.8 (2.9, 5.1) N/A N/A



• While this analysis showed consistent improvements in PFS, OS, and DOR in women with prior BEV treatment and intermittently dosed relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel versus nab-paclitaxel monotherapy, interpretation of these findings is limited by the small number of patients in this pre-planned subgroup analysis

• Patient characteristics beyond those discussed here, or recognized as impactful factors, may also contribute to these findings.

Ongoing Phase 3 Study: ROSELLA

Results from this phase 2 subgroup analysis informed the patient population for the confirmatory phase 3 trial of intermittent relacorilant + nab-paclitaxel versus nab-paclitaxel monotherapy (ROSELLA [GOG-3073, ENGOT-Ov72/MITO]; NCT05257408), with an enrollment inclusion criterion for prior treatment with BEV.

Patient population: ~360 patients

- High-grade serious, epithelial ovarian, primary peritoneal,
- or fallopian tube cancer Progression ≤6 months after last dose of platinum-based therapy
- (exclude primary platinum-refractory)
- 1-3 prior lines of systemic anticancer therapy
- Must have received prior BEV

Stratification factors

- Prior lines of therapy (1 vs >1)
- Region of the world (North America versus Europe versus the rest of the world)

Day –28 to –1

BICR, blinded independent central review; BOR, best overall response.

Prior

Prior Anticancer Therapy in All Study Patients, by Prior BEV Use

• The previous platinum-free interval for most patients was <6 months (prior BEV 99.0%; no prior BEV 100.0%).

	Prior BEV (N=105)	No prior BEV (N=73)
Number of prior therapies, median (range)	3 (1, 5)	2 (1, 5)
Time from initial diagnosis to first study treatment, median (SD), months	32.0 (18.4)	29.2 (22.1)
Previous treatment-free interval,* n (%)		
≤6 months	102 (97.1)	71 (97.3)
>6-12 months	2 (1.9)	2 (2.7)
>12 months	1 (1.0)	0
Treatment-free interval from most recent taxane, n (%)		
Relapse ≤6 months	48 (45.7)	39 (53.4)
Relapse >6 months	57 (54.3)	34 (46.6)
Prior primary debulking surgery, n (%)	46 (43.8)	27 (37.0)
Outcome of primary debulking surgery, n (%)		
No visible disease (R0)	31 (29.5)	15 (20.5)
≤1 cm residual disease	5 (4.8)	7 (9.6)
>1 cm residual disease	8 (7.6)	2 (2.7)
Prior PARPi, n (%)	43 (41.0)	22 (30.1)

lost recent treatment to this study treatmen PARPi, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitor

A. OS in patients with prior BEV Comparator ntermittent Comparator (n=23) (n=31) (n=29) (n=37) 18 (58.1) 28 (75.7) OS events, n (%) 19 (65.5) 21 (91.3) 17.9 (11.9, NR) Median OS, months (95% CI) 12.6 (6.9, 15.9) Median OS, months (95% CI) 11.3 (8.7, 17.2) 12.2 (8.4, 15.5 0.47 (0.24, 0.94) N/A 0.80 (0.42, 1.52) N/A 0.031 Log-rank test, *P* value[†] N/A Log-rank test, *P* value[†] 0.501 N/A $-\Delta\Delta\Delta$ -A Comparator -A Comparator -A Intermittent Duration of OS, months

Duration of OS. months



B. OS in patients without prior BEV